Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Li Pan, Kai-Bei Yu, Shu-Lin Peng, Yan Zhou and Li-Sheng Ding\*

Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: lsding@cib.ac.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 296 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.101 Data-to-parameter ratio = 9.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# organic papers

Received 6 March 2006 Accepted 14 March 2006

# ent-3 $\beta$ ,16-Dihydroxyisopimar-7-ene-2,15dione: a new tricyclic diterpenoid from the roots of *Euphorbia wallichii*

The title compound,  $C_{20}H_{30}O_4$ , is an *ent*-isopimarane diterpenoid which was isolated from the roots of *Euphorbia wallichii*. The molecule contains three six-membered rings, which adopt chair and half-chair conformations. In addition to an intramolecular  $O-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond, an intermolecular  $O-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bond connects the molecules into chains.

#### Comment

Many plants in the family Euphorbiaceae have been the subject of chemical and pharmacological investigation because of their skin-irritant, tumor-promoting and anti-tumor activities. These biological properties have been traced back in many cases to the presence of certain types of diterpenes (Evance & Soper, 1978; Jiao et al., 1990). Euphorbia wallichii Hook. f. Fl., a perennial plant distributed mainly in Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and the surrounding area, has a long history in Tibetan folk medicine as a herbal remedy for curing many skin diseases such as furuncle, exanthema and cutaneous anthrax (Northwest Plateau Institute of Biology, 1991). Our present investigation of the roots of this plant led to the isolation of ent-3*β*,16-dihydroxyisopimar-7-ene-2,15-dione, (I). It is the first time *ent*-isopimarane been obtained from E. wallichii. The structure of (I) was elucidated by comprehensive spectroscopic analysis, and was confirmed by singlecrystal X-ray diffraction analysis.



The molecular structure of (I) and the atom-numbering scheme are shown in Fig. 1. The molecule contains three sixmembered rings (A = atoms C1-C5/C10, B = C5-C10 and C = C8/C9/C11-C14). Ring A adopts a chair conformation, while ring B adopt a slightly distorted half-chair conformation, and ring C a slightly distorted chair conformation as a result of the C7=C8 double bond. There is an intramolecular O-H···O hydrogen bond. The molecules are linked by intermolecular O-H···O hydrogen bonds, forming chains running along [011] and [011].

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



Figure 1

View of the molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

### **Experimental**

Fresh roots of E. wallichii (30 kg) were collected in Lulang at an altitude of 3600-4000 m in the Tibet Autonomous area, People's Republic of China, in July 2003. The extract (600 g) of 90% EtOH was suspended in water (3.01) and partitioned successively with EtOAc and n-butanol. An aliquot (180 g) of the EtOAc extract was subjected to silica-gel column chromatography (160-200 mesh, 2 kg) and eluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/acetone in increasing polarity. The column chromatographic fractions (500 ml each) were combined according to thin-layer chromatography monitoring into 10 fractions. Fraction 4 (8 g) was applied to an ODS silica-gel column and eluted with MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (6:4) to yield five fractions. Fraction 4.1 (400 mg) was subjected to silica-gel column chromatography and eluted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>/acetone (10:1) to afford the pure title compound (I) (m.p. 529-531 K) and was further crystallized at room temperature from acetone to afford prismatic crytals. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ 214.7 (C15), 210.6 (C2), 132.97 (C8), 123.5 (C7), 115.1 (C7), 82.4 (C3), 64.0 (C16), 51.8 (C9), 51.5 (C1), 48.9 (C5), 45.7 (C13), 45.1 (C10), 42.9 (C4), 32.2 (C12), 28.7 (C18), 23.4 (C6), 19.4 (C11), 18.9 (C17), 16.4 (C19), 15.5 (C20).

#### Crystal data

| $C_{20}H_{30}O_4$            | $D_x = 1.234 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$   |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $M_r = 334.44$               | Mo $K\alpha$ radiation            |
| Monoclinic, P2 <sub>1</sub>  | Cell parameters from              |
| a = 9.238 (2) Å              | reflections                       |
| b = 10.124 (3) Å             | $\theta = 3.5 - 14.5^{\circ}$     |
| c = 9.696 (2) Å              | $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$      |
| $\beta = 97.03(2)^{\circ}$   | T = 296 (2) K                     |
| V = 900.0 (4) Å <sup>3</sup> | Prism, colourless                 |
| Z = 2                        | $0.60 \times 0.54 \times 0.24$ mm |
| Data collection              |                                   |
| Siemens P4 diffractometer    | $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^{\circ}$ |
| $\omega$ scans               | $h = 0 \rightarrow 11$            |
| Absorption correction: none  | $k = 0 \rightarrow 12$            |
| 2281 measured reflections    | $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$          |
| 2077 independent reflections | 3 standard reflections            |
|                              |                                   |

1419 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.016$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$  $wR(F^2) = 0.102$ S = 0.932077 reflections 230 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

29 n

every 97 reflections intensity decay: 3.1%

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0526P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.16 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.14 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXTL Extinction coefficient: 0.011 (3)

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

| O1-C2          | 1.211 (4)  | O4-C16          | 1.374 (4) |
|----------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|
| O2-C3          | 1.413 (4)  | C7-C8           | 1.312 (4) |
| O3-C15         | 1.199 (3)  |                 |           |
| C2-C1-C10      | 112.9 (2)  | C8-C9-C10       | 112.1 (2) |
| O1-C2-C3       | 120.6 (3)  | C20-C10-C5      | 112.2 (2) |
| C1-C2-C3       | 114.6 (3)  | C12-C11-H11A    | 108.9     |
| O2-C3-C4       | 112.0 (2)  | C12-C13-C14     | 108.4 (2) |
| C18-C4-C19     | 108.5 (2)  | C17-C13-C15     | 107.1 (2) |
| C4-C5-C10      | 118.5 (2)  | O3-C15-C13      | 122.6 (3) |
| C7-C6-C5       | 111.8 (2)  | C16-C15-C13     | 118.2 (3) |
| C7-C8-C14      | 120.5 (3)  | O4-C16-C15      | 116.4 (3) |
| C10-C1-C2-O1   | -123.8 (4) | C6-C5-C10-C1    | 176.6 (2) |
| 01-C2-C3-O2    | -4.2(4)    | C8-C9-C11-C12   | -43.6(4)  |
| O2-C3-C4-C18   | -65.5(4)   | C7-C8-C14-C13   | 139.4 (3) |
| O2-C3-C4-C19   | 51.5 (4)   | C17-C13-C15-O3  | 106.3 (4) |
| C5-C6-C7-C8    | -13.1(4)   | C17-C13-C15-C16 | -72.1(4)  |
| C11-C9-C10-C20 | 56.0 (3)   | O3-C15-C16-O4   | -3.2 (5)  |
| C4-C5-C10-C9   | 163.2 (2)  | C13-C15-C16-O4  | 175.3 (3) |
|                |            |                 |           |

#### Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

| , , ,                       |          |              |              |                                      |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | D-H      | $H \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ |
| $O4-H4O\cdots O2^{i}$       | 0.82 (1) | 2.18 (3)     | 2.936 (4)    | 153 (5)                              |
| Symmetry code: (i) x, y     | +1.7-1.  |              |              |                                      |

H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.93-0.98 Å and O-H = 0.82 Å). H atoms bonded to O atoms were refined freely. H atoms bonded to C atoms were refined as riding, with  $U_{iso}(H) =$  $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The methyl groups were allowed to rotate but not to tip. The absolute configuration could not be determined from the X-ray analysis because of the absence of strong anomalous scatterers. Friedel pairs were therefore merged before refinement. However, the absolute configuration can be suggested on a biogenetic basis (Wang et al., 2003, 2004).

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: SHELXTL (Siemens, 1994); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL; program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We are grateful to the staff of the Analytical Group of Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for measuring the NMR spectra.

#### References

Evance, F. J. & Soper, C. J. (1978). J. Nat. Prod. 41, 193-233.

- Jiao, Y., Liu, J. H., Liu, G. F. & Yuan, C. L. (1990). Zhongcaoyao, 211, 39-44. (In Chinese.)
- Northwest Plateau Institute of Biology (1991). Tibetan Medicine Glossary. Northwest Plateau Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xining, Qinghai, People's Republic of China.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS and SHELXTL (Version 5.10). Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Wang, H., Zhang, X. F., Cai, X. H., Ma, Y. B., Wu, D. G. & Luo, X. D. (2004). Chin. J. Chem. 22, 199-202.

Wang, H., Zhang, X. F., Pan, L., Yang, S. M., Ma, Y. B. & Luo, X. D. (2003). Tianran Chanwu Yanjiu Yu Kaifa, 15, 483-487. (In Chinese.)